**PEER REVIEW FEEDBACK**

**Used in support of: INFO 3140, INFO 3240, INFO 3300, and INFO 4240**

**\* Review the assignment document and class rubrics before completing**

**Purpose:**

The purposes of the peer-review process are three-fold.

The first purpose is to encourage students to produce the best possible materials by providing a specific and structured proofreading and review process before the product is turned into the instructor for grading.

The second purpose is to allow peer-to-peer instruction, having each student raise the level of learning of the class by presenting new ideas and applications of concepts to their peers.

The third purpose is to provide students with additional opportunities to practice analytical and critical-thinking skills in the course as they analyze the structures, evidence, arguments, and plans as presented by their peers.

**Feedback:**

**Assignment name: Phase II Review Date: 10/11/22**

**Submission by: Morgan Henry**

**Peer reviewer: Josh Poresky**

Read/review the deliverable once without pausing to write comments. Then read/review the deliverable again with the following questions in mind:

1. Does the deliverable follow the submission and assignment guidelines?

Indeed it does.

1. Does the deliverable provide evidence for critical, practical and creative thinking? Provide evidence.

Yes. This particular assignment doesn’t offer much evidence, as the build script is mostly a copy paste, but yes, it does.

1. Provide positive feedback that the deliverable does well.

The build script works, and phase II looks good

1. Provide recommendation(s) for deliverable improvement(s).

**Stuff doesn’t open in the solution**

Include peer reviewers names at the top of the doc

Not sure if the .bak file is necessary